YITP-06-55

Scalar cosmological perturbations in the Gauss-Bonnet braneworld

Tsutomu Kobayashi[1] and Masato Minamitsuji[2]
[1]*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*
[2]*Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

We study scalar cosmological perturbations in a braneworld model with a bulk Gauss-Bonnet term. For an anti-de Sitter bulk, the five-dimensional perturbation equations share the same form as in the Randall-Sundrum model, which allows us to obtain metric perturbations in terms of a master variable. We derive the boundary conditions for the master variable from the generalized junction conditions on the brane. We then investigate several limiting cases in which the junction equations are reduced to a feasible level. In the low energy limit, we confirm that the standard result of four-dimensional Einstein gravity is reproduced on large scales, whereas on small scales we find that the perturbation dynamics is described by the four-dimensional Brans-Dicke theory. In the high energy limit, all the non-local contributions drop off from the junction equations, leaving a closed system of equations on the brane. We show that, for inflation models driven by a scalar field on the brane, the Sasaki-Mukhanov equation holds on the high energy brane in its original four-dimensional form.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 98.80.Cq
Keywords: Cosmological perturbation theory, Higher-dimensional gravity

arXiv:hep-th/0610265v3 22 Nov 2006

I. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by string theory, a new picture of our Universe has emerged, stating that our four-dimensional (4D) world is viewed as a"brane" embedded in a higher dimensional spacetime (the "bulk"). This "braneworld" picture offers us intriguing possibilities of testing theories with extra dimensions in future observations or experiments. Gravitational and cosmological consequences of braneworld models have been explored by a large number of references, and are reviewed, e.g., in [1].

One of the simplest realizations of braneworld is proposed by Randall and Sundrum (RS) [2, 3], assuming that the bulk involves five-dimensional (5D) Einstein gravity with a negative cosmological constant. The RS model can be naturally extended to include the *Gauss-Bonnet* (GB) term:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm GB} := \mathcal{R}^2 - 4\mathcal{R}_{AB}\mathcal{R}^{AB} + \mathcal{R}_{ABCD}\mathcal{R}^{ABCD}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$, $\mathcal{R}_{AB}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{ABCD}$ denote the Ricci scalar, Ricci tensor, and Riemann tensor in five dimensions, respectively. This term arises in the low energy effective action of the heterotic string theory. The GB Lagrangian is the unique, ghost-free combination of quadratic curvature invariants leading to the field equations which contain derivatives of the metric of order no higher than the second [4]. In the context of the brane model with the GB correction, linearized gravity in the GB braneworld has been studied in Refs. [5, 6, 7], while nonlinear behavior of gravity has been addressed [8, 9] using the geometrical projection approach of [10]. Cosmology on a GB brane [11, 12] is important as well, and one of the possible ways to test the braneworld idea is studying cosmological perturbations from inflation as they are linked directly to observations such as the cosmic microwave background. In this direction, Minamitsuji and Sasaki [13] have examined linearized effective gravity on a de Sitter (dS) brane, and Dufaux *et al.* [14] investigated tensor and scalar perturbations generated from dS inflation in the GB braneworld (The authors of [14] have performed an exact analysis for the tensor perturbations, but they have neglected bulk effects for the scalar perturbations without any justification). In the present paper, we study scalar cosmological perturbations on a more general (flat) Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmological brane.

Cosmological perturbations in braneworlds have been discussed in the vast literature, most of which focuses on the RS model and hence considers Einstein gravity [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. In this paper we basically follow the approach taken in the RS case, extending the previous results to include the effect of the GB term.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we provide the field equations and the junction conditions in the presence of a bulk GB term and present the cosmological background solution. In Sec. III we consider scalar cosmological perturbations. First we derive the bulk metric perturbations in terms of a master variable, emphasizing that the 5D perturbation equations reduce to the same from as in the RS braneworld. Then we impose the junction conditions to give the boundary conditions for the metric perturbations. In Sec. IV we carefully investigate the limiting cases. Our conclusions are drawn in Sec. V.

II. GAUSS-BONNET BRANEWORLD

A. Preliminaries

We start with providing the basic equations that describe the GB braneworld. Our action is

$$S=\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int d^5x\sqrt{-g}\left[\mathcal{R}-2\Lambda+\alpha\mathcal{L}_{\rm GB}\right]+\int d^4x\sqrt{-q}\left[2K+\frac{4\alpha}{3}Q+\mathcal{L}_m-\sigma\right], \tag{2}$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant in the bulk, $\mathcal{L}_m$ is the matter Lagrangian on the brane, and σ is the brane tension. The GB Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm GB}$ was already defined in Eq. (1) and the coupling constant α has dimension of (length)^2. The surface term is given by $2K+(4\alpha/3)Q$, where K is the trace of the extrinsic curvature $K_\mu^{\ \nu}$ of the brane and $Q:=Q_\mu^{\ \mu}$ with $Q_\mu^{\ \nu}$ defined below in Eq. (6).

The 5D field equations following from the above action are

$$\mathcal{G}_{AB}-\frac{\alpha}{2}\mathcal{H}_{AB}=-\Lambda g_{AB}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{AB}:=\mathcal{R}_{AB}-\mathcal{R}g_{AB}/2$ is the Einstein tensor and $\mathcal{H}_{AB}$ is the GB tensor defined by

$$\mathcal{H}_{AB}:=\mathcal{L}_{\rm GB}g_{AB}-4\left(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}_{AB}-2\mathcal{R}_{AC}\mathcal{R}^C_{\ B}-2\mathcal{R}_{ACBD}\mathcal{R}^{CD}+\mathcal{R}_{ACDE}\mathcal{R}_B^{\ CDE}\right). \tag{4}$$

Assuming a Z_2 symmetry across the brane, the junction conditions at the brane are given by [25]

$$K_\mu^{\ \nu}-K\delta_\mu^{\ \nu}=-\frac{\kappa^2}{2}\left(T_\mu^{\ \nu}-\sigma\delta_\mu^{\ \nu}\right)-2\alpha\left(Q_\mu^{\ \nu}-\frac{1}{3}Q\delta_\mu^{\ \nu}\right), \tag{5}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the matter energy-momentum tensor and

$$\begin{aligned}Q_\mu^{\ \nu} \;:=\; & 2KK_\mu^{\ \alpha}K_\alpha^{\ \nu}-2K_\mu^{\ \alpha}K_\alpha^{\ \beta}K_\beta^{\ \nu}+\left(K_\alpha^{\ \beta}K_\beta^{\ \alpha}-K^2\right)K_\mu^{\ \nu}\\ & +2KR_\mu^{\ \nu}+RK_\mu^{\ \nu}-2K_\alpha^{\ \beta}R_{\mu\beta}^{\ \ \ \nu\alpha}-2R_\mu^{\ \alpha}K_\alpha^{\ \nu}-2R_\alpha^{\ \nu}K_\mu^{\ \alpha},\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

with $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$, $R_{\mu\nu}$ and R being the Riemann tensor, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar with respect to the 4D induced metric. The main difference in the junction conditions from those in Einstein gravity is that they include intrinsic curvature terms as well as external ones. As we will see later, it brings significant modifications to the behavior of cosmological perturbations.

Using the Codacci equation, we can show that the conservation law holds on the brane [8]:

$$\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu}=0. \tag{7}$$

The field equations (3) admit an anti-de Sitter (AdS) bulk with the curvature radius ℓ $(=:\mu^{-1})$. The 5D cosmological constant and μ are related by

$$\Lambda=-6\mu^2\left(1-2\alpha\mu^2\right). \tag{8}$$

It is useful to define a dimensionless parameter $\beta:=4\alpha\mu^2$. In this paper, we assume the parameter range $0\le\beta<1$. The upper limit here is sufficient for ensuring $\Lambda<0$, but as we will see later the linearized field equations are associated with the overall factor $(1-\beta)$, and therefore we assume the tighter limit.

B. Cosmological background solution

We present a cosmological background solution which has a flat 3D geometry in the GB braneworld [12]. We write the metric in the Gaussian normal coordinates as

$$g^{(0)}_{AB}dx^Adx^B=-n^2(t,y)dt^2+a^2(t,y)\delta_{ij}dx^idx^j+dy^2. \tag{9}$$

We may set $n(t,0)=1$, so that t is the proper time on the brane at $y=y_b=0$ and $a_b(t):=a(t,0)$ is the scale factor. The 5D field equations for this metric are given in Appendix A. If $4\alpha b\neq1$, where

$$b:=\left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2-\frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot a}{a}\right)^2, \tag{10}$$

where a prime (overdot) denotes a derivative with respect to y (t). Eq. (A3) requires $(\dot{a}/n)'=0$, and hence

$$n(t,y)=\frac{\dot{a}(t,y)}{\dot{a}_b(t)}. \tag{11}$$

Substituting this into Eq. (A1), we obtain $\left[a^4b-2\alpha a^4b^2\right]'=-(\Lambda/6)\left(a^4\right)'$, which can be integrated immediately to give

$$b-2\alpha b^2=\mu^2\left(1-\frac{\beta}{2}\right)+\frac{\mathcal{C}}{a^4}. \tag{12}$$

The integration constant $\mathcal{C}$ corresponds to the non-zero components of the Weyl tensor in the bulk. In this paper, we restrict our analyses to the AdS background and assume $\mathcal{C}=0$. For vanishing $\mathcal{C}$ we obtain

$$b=\mu^2. \tag{13}$$

We do not consider another possibility, $b=(2/\beta-1)\mu^2$, because this does not provide a well-behaved $\alpha\to 0$ limit. Evaluating Eq. (13) at the brane, we obtain

$$\frac{a_b'}{a_b}=-\sqrt{\mu^2+H^2}, \tag{14}$$

where $H:=\dot{a}_b/a_b$ is the Hubble parameter. Then Eq. (11) implies

$$\frac{n_b'}{n_b}=-\sqrt{H^2+\mu^2}-\frac{\dot{H}}{\sqrt{H^2+\mu^2}}. \tag{15}$$

Eqs. (14) and (15) will be used in the next section when discussing cosmological perturbations on the brane. Substituting $b=\mu^2$ into Eq. (A1), we obtain $a''/a=\mu^2$. From this and Eq. (14) we find

$$a(t,y)=a_b(t)\left[\cosh(\mu y)-\sqrt{1+\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}}\sinh(\mu y)\right]. \tag{16}$$

Although the 5D field equations include the GB term, the metric functions $n(t,y)$ and $a(t,y)$ have the same form as in the cosmological solution in the RS braneworld based on the Einstein-Hilbert action [26]. What is manifestly different is the Friedmann equation that relates the Hubble expansion rate H and the energy-momentum components on the brane. The Friedmann equation derived from the generalized junction conditions at the brane is [12]

$$2\sqrt{H^2+\mu^2}\left(3-\beta+2\beta\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}\right)=\kappa^2(\rho+\sigma). \tag{17}$$

The critical brane tension, which allows for a Minkowski brane, is obtained by setting $H\to 0$ as $\rho\to 0$:

$$\kappa^2\sigma=2\mu(3-\beta). \tag{18}$$

There are three regimes for the dynamical history of the GB brane universe, two of which are basically the same as those found in the context of the RS braneworld. When $H^2\ll\mu^2/\beta[=(4\alpha)^{-1}]$, we recover the RS-type Friedmann equation,

$$H^2\simeq\frac{8\pi G}{3}\left(\rho+\frac{\rho^2}{2\sigma}\right), \tag{19}$$

where we defined the 4D gravitational constant as

$$8\pi G:=\frac{\kappa^2\mu}{1+\beta}. \tag{20}$$

Thus, we can see that at low energies, $H^2\ll\mu^2$, we have the standard 4D Friedmann equation, $H^2\propto\rho$, while at high energies, $\mu^2\ll H^2(\ll\mu^2/\beta)$, we have $H^2\propto\rho^2$. At very high energies, $H^2\gg\mu^2/\beta$, the effect of the GB term becomes prominent. In this regime, we find

$$H^2\simeq\left(\frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{4\beta}\rho\right)^{2/3}. \tag{21}$$

III. COSMOLOGICAL PERTURBATIONS

A. Perturbations in a maximally symmetric bulk

Now let us consider linear perturbations about the cosmological brane background discussed in the previous section. Since we are considering the maximally symmetric bulk spacetime, the background Riemann tensor can be expressed as $R^{(0)}_{ABCD} = -\mu^2 \left[g^{(0)}_{AC} g^{(0)}_{BD} - g^{(0)}_{AD} g^{(0)}_{BC} \right]$. Using this fact, we find that the perturbed GB tensor has a following nice property:

$$\delta \mathcal{H}_A^{\ B} = 8\mu^2 \delta \mathcal{G}_A^{\ B}. \tag{22}$$

Thus, the linearized field equations are simply given by

$$(1-\beta)\delta \mathcal{G}_A^{\ B} = 0, \tag{23}$$

which, aside from the factor $(1-\beta)$, give the same perturbation equations as in Einstein gravity. This allows us to make full use of the previously known results on cosmological perturbations in the RS model. Note that Eq. (22) is a direct consequence of maximal symmetry of the background.

We write the perturbed metric in an arbitrary gauge as

$$\begin{aligned}\left(g^{(0)}_{AB} + \delta g_{AB} \right) dx^A dx^B &= -n^2(1+2A)dt^2 + 2a^2 B_{,i} dt dx^i + a^2 \left[(1-2\psi)\delta_{ij} + 2E_{,ij} \right] dx^i dx^j \\ &\quad + 2nA_y dt dy + 2a^2 B_{y,i} dx^i dy + (1+2A_{yy})dy^2. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The gauge dependence of the metric perturbations is summarized in Appendix B. The 5D perturbation equations will be solved most easily in the so-called *5D longitudinal gauge* [16], which is defined by

$$\tilde{\sigma} = -\tilde{B} + \dot{\tilde{E}} = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}_y = -\tilde{B}_y + \tilde{E}' = 0. \tag{26}$$

(Hereafter variables with tilde will denote the metric perturbations in the 5D longitudinal gauge.) We use a master variable, Ω, which was originally introduced by Mukohyama [15] in the Einstein gravity case. The perturbed 5D field equations are solved if the metric perturbations are written in terms of this master variable:

$$\tilde{A} = -\frac{1}{6a}\left[2\Omega'' - \frac{n'}{n}\Omega' - \mu^2\Omega + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\ddot{\Omega} - \frac{\dot{n}}{n}\dot{\Omega} \right) \right], \tag{27}$$

$$\tilde{A}_y = \frac{1}{na}\left(\dot{\Omega}' - \frac{n'}{n}\dot{\Omega} \right), \tag{28}$$

$$\tilde{A}_{yy} = \frac{1}{6a}\left[\Omega'' - 2\frac{n'}{n}\Omega' + \mu^2\Omega + \frac{2}{n^2}\left(\ddot{\Omega} - \frac{\dot{n}}{n}\dot{\Omega} \right) \right], \tag{29}$$

$$\tilde{\psi} = -\frac{1}{6a}\left[\Omega'' + \frac{n'}{n}\Omega' - 2\mu^2\Omega - \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\ddot{\Omega} - \frac{\dot{n}}{n}\dot{\Omega} \right) \right], \tag{30}$$

where Ω is a solution of the master equation

$$\Omega'' + \left(\frac{n'}{n} - 3\frac{a'}{a} \right)\Omega' - \frac{1}{n^2}\left[\ddot{\Omega} - \left(\frac{\dot{n}}{n} + 3\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \right)\dot{\Omega} \right] + \left(\mu^2 + \frac{1}{a^2}\Delta \right)\Omega = 0, \tag{31}$$

with $\Delta := \delta^{ij}\partial_i\partial_j$. The boundary conditions for Ω will be derived in the next section.

The master equation (31) does not have a separable form except for the special case of a dS brane background. (The separable dS braneworld is discussed in Appendix C.) As has been worked out in the context of the RS braneworld [24] (see also [21, 22, 23]), one must in general resort to numerical calculations to solve Eq. (31).

B. Junction conditions

Perturbed junction conditions provide the relation between the gravitational and matter perturbations on the brane, which leads to the boundary conditions for the master variable. The junction conditions are most easily derived in the *brane-Gaussian normal* (GN) gauge [16], which is defined by

$$\left(g^{(0)}_{AB} + \bar{\delta} g_{AB} \right) d\bar{x}^A d\bar{x}^B = -n^2\left(1+2\bar{A}\right)d\bar{t}^2 + 2a^2\bar{B}_{,i} d\bar{t} d\bar{x}^i + a^2\left[\left(1-2\bar{\psi}\right)\delta_{ij} + 2\bar{E}_{,ij} \right] d\bar{x}^i d\bar{x}^j + d\bar{y}^2, \tag{32}$$

and

$$\bar{y}_b = 0. \tag{33}$$

Here we denote by a bar the perturbations in the brane-GN gauge. Starting from the 5D longitudinal gauge in which the location of the brane is perturbed and is given by $y_b = \xi(x^\mu)$, the brane-GN gauge is realized by a coordinate transformation $\bar{x}^A = x^A + \delta x^A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \tilde{B}_y - \delta x' - \frac{1}{a^2}\delta y, \\ 0 &= \tilde{A}_y + n\delta t' - \frac{1}{n}\delta\dot{y}, \\ 0 &= \tilde{A}_{yy} - \delta y', \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

and

$$0 = \xi + \delta y_b. \tag{35}$$

There is a residual gauge-freedom in the time coordinate, and in the following discussion we fix $\delta t_b = 0$.

We decompose the spatial component of the perturbed extrinsic curvature into its trace δK_T and traceless part δK_{TL} as

$$\delta K_i{}^j = \delta K_T \delta_i{}^j + \left[\partial_i \partial^j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_i{}^j \Delta\right] \delta K_{TL}. \tag{36}$$

In the brane-GN gauge, the extrinsic curvature is simply calculated as

$$\delta K_0{}^0 = \bar{A}', \tag{37}$$

$$\delta K_i{}^0 = -\frac{1}{2} a_b^2 \left(\dot{\bar{\sigma}}_y - \bar{\sigma}'\right)_{,i}, \tag{38}$$

$$\delta K_T = -\bar{\psi}' + \frac{1}{3}\Delta\bar{\sigma}_y, \tag{39}$$

$$\delta K_{TL} = \bar{\sigma}_y. \tag{40}$$

Hereafter in this section all the perturbation variables are evaluated at the brane. A straightforward computation gives

$$\delta Q_0{}^0 = -6\mu^2 \delta K_0{}^0 - 12\mu^2 \delta K_T + 2\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\delta G_0{}^0 - 6\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_T, \tag{41}$$

$$\delta Q_i{}^0 = -2\mu^2 \delta K_i{}^0 + 2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_i{}^0, \tag{42}$$

$$\delta Q_T = -4\mu^2 \delta K_0{}^0 - 14\mu^2 \delta K_T - \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} + 2\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\delta G_0{}^0 - 4\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_T, \tag{43}$$

$$\delta Q_{TL} = -2\mu^2 \delta K_{TL} + \frac{2}{a_b^2}\left(\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\Psi - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\Phi\right), \tag{44}$$

where the trace and traceless part of $\delta Q_i{}^j$ and the trace of $\delta G_i{}^j$ are defined similarly to δK_T and δK_{TL}. The perturbed 4D Einstein tensor is given in terms of the induced metric perturbations as

$$\delta G_0{}^0 = 6H\left(\dot{\bar{\psi}} + H\bar{A}\right) - \frac{2}{a_b^2}\Delta\Psi, \tag{45}$$

$$\delta G_i{}^0 = -2\left(\dot{\bar{\psi}} + H\bar{A}\right)_{,i}, \tag{46}$$

$$\delta G_T = 2\left[\ddot{\bar{\psi}} + 3H\dot{\bar{\psi}} + H\dot{\bar{A}} + \left(3H^2 + 2\dot{H}\right)\bar{A}\right] - \frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\left(\Psi - \Phi\right), \tag{47}$$

and the metric potentials are defined by

$$\Phi = \bar{A} - \frac{d}{dt}\left(a_b^2 \bar{\sigma}\right), \tag{48}$$

$$\Psi = \bar{\psi} + a_b^2 H \bar{\sigma}. \tag{49}$$

The perturbations of the energy-momentum tensor are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta T_0{}^0 &= -\delta\rho, && (50)\\ \delta T_i{}^0 &= \delta q_{,i}, && (51)\\ \delta T_i{}^j &= \delta p \delta_i{}^j + \left(\partial_i \partial^j - \frac{1}{3}\delta_i^j \Delta\right)\delta\pi. && (52) \end{aligned}$$

From the junction conditions (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa^2 \delta\rho &= -6(1-\beta)\delta K_T + \frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_0{}^0, && (53)\\ \kappa^2 \delta q_{,i} &= -2(1-\beta)\delta K_i{}^0 - \frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_i{}^0, && (54)\\ \kappa^2 \delta p &= 2(1-\beta)\left(\delta K_0{}^0 + 2\delta K_T\right) + \frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\left[\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\delta G_0{}^0 - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_T\right], && (55)\\ \kappa^2 \delta\pi &= -2(1-\beta)\delta K_{TL} - \frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\left[\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\Psi - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\Phi\right]. && (56) \end{aligned}$$

It is worth noting here how the perturbed 4D Einstein tensor appears in the junction conditions. Eqs. (53) and (54) clearly have the suggestive form of

$$\kappa^2 \delta T_\mu{}^\nu = (\text{extrinsic curvature}) - \frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_\mu{}^\nu. \tag{57}$$

The other two equations (55) and (56) have the form slightly different from (57), but when $a_b'/a_b - n_b'/n_b \propto \dot{H} = 0$ they reduce to (57).

In order to write the junction equations in terms of the master variable Ω, we now go back to the 5D longitudinal gauge by substituting Eqs. (34) and (35) into the the gauge transformation (B2). The extrinsic curvature is expressed in the 5D longitudinal gauge as [16]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta K_0{}^0 &= \tilde{A}' + \dot{\tilde{A}}_y - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\tilde{A}_{yy} + \ddot{\xi} + \left(\frac{n'}{n}\right)'\Bigg|_b \xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2a_b}\left(\ddot{\Omega}' - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\ddot{\Omega}\right) + \frac{1}{3a_b^3}\Delta\left(\Omega' - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\Omega\right) + \frac{1}{2a_b}\left[2H\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right) - \frac{\dot{n}_b'}{n_b}\right]\dot{\Omega} + \frac{\mu^2}{2a_b}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\Omega \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2a_b}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\left(2\frac{a_b'}{a_b} - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\Omega' + \ddot{\xi} + \left[\mu^2 - \left(\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)^2\right]\xi, && (58)\\ \delta K_i{}^0 &= \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{A}_y + \dot{\xi} - H\xi\right]_{,i} \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{2a_b}\left(\dot{\Omega}' - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\dot{\Omega}\right) + \dot{\xi} - H\xi\right]_{,i}, && (59)\\ \delta K_T &= -\tilde{\psi}' + H\tilde{A}_y - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\tilde{A}_{yy} + H\left(\dot{\xi} - H\xi\right) - \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2a_b}H\left(\dot{\Omega}' - \frac{n_b'}{n_b}\dot{\Omega}\right) - \frac{1}{6a_b^3}\Delta\left(\Omega' - \frac{a_b'}{a_b}\Omega\right) + H\left(\dot{\xi} - H\xi\right) - \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi, && (60)\\ \delta K_{TL} &= -\frac{1}{a_b^2}\xi. && (61) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, in terms of the 5D longitudinal gauge perturbations the 4D Einstein tensor and the metric potentials are expressed, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta G_0{}^0 &= 6H\left(\dot{\tilde{\psi}} + H\tilde{A}\right) - \frac{2}{a_b^2}\Delta\tilde{\psi} - 6\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\left[H\left(\dot{\xi} - H\xi\right) - \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right] \\ &= -\frac{3}{a_b}H\left[\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\dot{\Omega}' - \left(\mu^2 + H^2 + \dot{H}\right)\dot{\Omega}\right] + \frac{1}{a_b^3}\Delta\left[\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\Omega' - \left(\mu^2 + H^2\right)\Omega\right] - \frac{1}{3a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega \end{aligned}$$

$$-6\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\left[H\left(\dot{\xi}-H\xi\right)-\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right], \tag{62}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta G_i{}^0 &= -2\left[\dot{\tilde{\psi}}+H\tilde{A}-\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\left(\dot{\xi}-H\xi\right)\right]_{,i} \\
&= \left\{\frac{1}{a_b}\left[\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\dot{\Omega}'-\left(\mu^2+H^2+\dot{H}\right)\dot{\Omega}\right]-\frac{1}{3a_b^3}\Delta\left(\dot{\Omega}-H\Omega\right)+2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\left(\dot{\xi}-H\xi\right)\right\}_{,i}, \qquad (63)\\
\delta G_T &= 2\left[\ddot{\tilde{\psi}}+3H\dot{\tilde{\psi}}+H\dot{\tilde{A}}+\left(3H^2+2\dot{H}\right)\tilde{A}\right]-\frac{2}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\left(\tilde{\psi}-\tilde{A}\right) \\
&\quad -2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\ddot{\xi}-2\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}+\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\left[H\dot{\xi}-\dot{H}\xi-\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right]+2H^2\left(\frac{n_b'}{n_b}+2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\right)\xi \\
&= -\frac{1}{a_b}\left[\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\ddot{\Omega}'-\left(\mu^2+H^2\right)\ddot{\Omega}\right]-\frac{1}{a_b}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}+\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)H\dot{\Omega}'+\frac{1}{a_b}\left[2H\left(\mu^2+H^2\right)+6H\dot{H}+\ddot{H}\right]\dot{\Omega} \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{a_b}\left[2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\dot{H}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\dot{H}+\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\frac{1}{3a_b^2}\Delta\right]\Omega'+\frac{1}{a_b}\left(\mu^2\dot{H}+\frac{1}{3a_b^2}\dot{H}\Delta+\frac{1}{9a_b^4}\Delta^2\right)\Omega \\
&\quad -2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\ddot{\xi}-2\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}+\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\left[H\dot{\xi}-\dot{H}\xi-\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right]+2H^2\left(\frac{n_b'}{n_b}+2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\right)\xi, \qquad (64)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi &= \tilde{A}+\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\xi, \qquad (65)\\
\Psi &= \tilde{\psi}-\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\xi. \qquad (66)
\end{aligned}$$

The matter perturbations are subject only to a temporal gauge transformation, and since we fix $\delta t_b = 0$ they are invariant when going from the brane-GN gauge to the 5D longitudinal gauge.

Looking at Eqs. (58)–(60) and (62)–(64) carefully, we find[1]

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_0{}^0 &= -6\left(\mu^2+H^2\right)\delta K_T+\sqrt{\mu^2+H^2}\frac{1}{3a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega, \qquad (67)\\
\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_i{}^0 &= 2\left(\mu^2+H^2\right)\delta K_i{}^0+\sqrt{\mu^2+H^2}\frac{1}{3a_b^3}\Delta\left(\dot{\Omega}-H\Omega\right)_{,i}, \qquad (68)\\
\frac{1}{3}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\delta G_0{}^0-\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_T &= 2\left(\mu^2+H^2\right)\left(\delta K_0{}^0+2\delta K_T\right) \\
&\quad +4\dot{H}\delta K_T+\sqrt{\mu^2+H^2}\left(1-\frac{\dot{H}}{\mu^2+H^2}\right)\frac{1}{9a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega. \qquad (69)
\end{aligned}$$

Using these equations, the junction conditions can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2\delta\rho &= -6\left(1+\beta+2\beta\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}\right)\delta K_T+\frac{2\beta}{3\mu}\sqrt{1+\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}}\frac{1}{a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega, \qquad (70)\\
\kappa^2\delta q_{,i} &= -2\left(1+\beta+2\beta\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}\right)\delta K_i{}^0-\frac{2\beta}{3\mu}\sqrt{1+\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}}\frac{1}{a_b^3}\Delta\left(\dot{\Omega}-H\Omega\right)_{,i}, \qquad (71)\\
\kappa^2\delta p &= 2\left(1+\beta+2\beta\frac{H^2}{\mu^2}\right)\left(\delta K_0{}^0+2\delta K_T\right)+\frac{2\beta}{\mu^2}\left[4\dot{H}\delta K_T+\sqrt{\mu^2+H^2}\left(1-\frac{\dot{H}}{\mu^2+H^2}\right)\frac{1}{9a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega\right]. \qquad (72)
\end{aligned}$$

The traceless part of the junction equation (56) with Eqs. (61), (65), and (66) yields

$$\left[1+\beta+2\frac{\beta}{\mu^2}\left(H^2+\dot{H}\right)\right]\xi = \frac{\kappa^2}{2}a_b^2\delta\pi+\frac{\beta}{2\mu^2}\frac{1}{a_b}\left\{\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\ddot{\Omega}-\left(2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)H\dot{\Omega}+2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\Omega'\right.$$

---

[1] This is a consequence of a perturbation of the contracted Gauss equation.

$$-\left[\mu^2\left(\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)+\left(2\frac{a_b'}{a_b}-\frac{n_b'}{n_b}\right)\frac{1}{3a_b^2}\Delta\right]\Omega\Bigg\}. \tag{73}$$

In the RS braneworld ($\beta=0$), the brane bending in the 5D longitudinal gauge vanishes in the absence of the matter anisotropic stress, $\delta\pi=0$. In the GB braneworld, however, Eq. (73) clearly shows that the curvature tensors in the junction equations act as an anisotropic stress source, and hence the brane bending ξ should be taken into account in general even if $\delta\pi=0$. The situation here is in a sense similar to that of the Dvali-Gabadadze-Porrati (DGP) braneworld [27]: a induced gravity term on a DGP brane mimics anisotropic stress (see, e.g., [28]).

Using Eq. (73) (with $\delta\pi=0$), we can eliminate ξ in Eqs. (58)–(60). We then specify the relation between $\delta\rho$ and δp, for example, in the form of the equation of state $\delta p=w\delta\rho$. This procedure leads to the boundary conditions for the master variable Ω, which are in general quite complicated.

A comment is now in order. Using the contracted Gauss equation [Eqs. (67)–(69)], we can express the junction conditions in terms of the perturbed Einstein tensor rather than the extrinsic curvature. However, the junction conditions cannot be written solely in terms of the Einstein tensor on the brane; Ω itself will be inevitably involved. Such extra terms correspond to the 5D Weyl tensor, and for this reason closed equations on the brane are not available in general.

IV. LIMITING CASES

In the previous section we have presented our general formalism for scalar perturbations in the GB braneworld. We now investigate limiting cases in which the junction conditions can be simplified to a great extent, in order to catch on to the specific new features brought by the GB term.

A. Low energy limit

First let us consider the low energy limit $\max\{H^2,|\dot{H}|\}\ll\mu^2(<\mu^2/\beta)$. In this limit, we may approximate $a_b'/a_b\simeq n_b'/n_b\simeq-\mu$ and $\mu\left(a_b'/a_b-n_b'/n_b\right)\simeq\dot{H}$. Then the junction equations (70)–(72) read

$$\kappa^2\delta\rho = -6(1+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}H\dot{\mathcal{F}}-\frac{1}{6a_b^3}\Delta\mathcal{F}+H\dot{\xi}-\frac{1}{3a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta}{3\mu}\frac{1}{a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega, \tag{74}$$

$$\kappa^2\delta q = -2(1+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}\dot{\mathcal{F}}+\dot{\xi}\right)-\frac{2\beta}{3\mu}\frac{1}{a_b^3}\Delta\left(\dot{\Omega}-H\Omega\right), \tag{75}$$

$$\kappa^2\delta p = 2(1+\beta)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}\ddot{\mathcal{F}}+\frac{1}{a_b}H\dot{\mathcal{F}}+\frac{1}{2a_b}\dot{H}\mathcal{F}+\ddot{\xi}+2H\dot{\xi}-\frac{2}{3a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta}{9\mu}\frac{1}{a_b^5}\Delta^2\Omega, \tag{76}$$

$$(1+\beta)\xi = \frac{\beta}{2\mu}\frac{1}{a_b}\left(-\ddot{\Omega}+H\dot{\Omega}+\frac{1}{3a_b^2}\Delta\Omega\right), \tag{77}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(t,\mathbf{x}):=\left[\Omega'+\mu\Omega\right]_b, \tag{78}$$

and we set $\delta\pi=0$. We have dropped terms such as $H^2\xi$ and $\dot{H}\xi$ in the above because Eq. (77) implies $H^2\xi,\dot{H}\xi\ll(\mu^2/\beta)\xi\sim H\dot{\mathcal{F}}/a_b$. Defining a new variable

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}(t,\mathbf{x}) &:= (1+\beta)\left(\mathcal{F}+2a_b\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta}{\mu}\left[H\dot{\Omega}+\frac{1}{3a^2}\Delta\Omega\right]_b\\ &= (1+\beta)\mathcal{F}-\frac{\beta}{\mu}\left[\ddot{\Omega}-3H\dot{\Omega}-\frac{1}{a^2}\Delta\Omega\right]_b,\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

we obtain the following simple set of equations:

$$\kappa^2a_b\delta\rho = -3H\dot{\mathcal{P}}+\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\mathcal{P}, \tag{80}$$

$$\kappa^2a_b\delta q = -\dot{\mathcal{P}}, \tag{81}$$

$$\kappa^2a_b\delta p = \ddot{\mathcal{P}}+2H\dot{\mathcal{P}}+\dot{H}\mathcal{P}. \tag{82}$$

1. Perturbations larger than the bulk curvature radius

Now we assume (as in [19])

$$\mu\Omega',\ \mu^2\Omega \gg \ddot{\Omega},\ H\dot{\Omega}. \tag{83}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &\approx \frac{\mu}{2a_b}\mathcal{F}+\frac{1}{6a_b^3}\frac{2+\beta}{1+\beta}\Delta\Omega, &(84)\\ \Psi &\approx \frac{\mu}{2a_b}\mathcal{F}+\frac{1}{6a_b^3}\frac{1+2\beta}{1+\beta}\Delta\Omega, &(85)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}\approx(1+\beta)\mathcal{F}+\frac{\beta}{\mu}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Omega. \tag{86}$$

On scales much larger than the bulk curvature scale, the gradient terms in Eqs. (84)–(86) can also be neglected as $|\mu\mathcal{F}|\sim\left|\mu^2\Omega\right|\gg\left|\Delta\Omega/a_b^2\right|$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Psi &= 4\pi G\ \delta\epsilon, &(87)\\ \Phi &= \Psi, &(88)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\ddot{\Phi}+\left(4+3c_s^2\right)H\dot{\Phi}+\left[2\dot{H}+3\left(1+c_s^2\right)H^2\right]\Phi-c_s^2\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Phi=4\pi G\left(\delta p-c_s^2\delta\rho\right), \tag{89}$$

where $\delta\epsilon:=\delta\rho-3H\delta q$ is the comoving density perturbation and c_s is the sound velocity. Thus the standard 4D result is reproduced. Since Eqs. (56) and (61) with vanishing matter anisotropic stress imply

$$\Phi-\Psi=\mu\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\xi, \tag{90}$$

there is no brane bending in this case.

2. Small scale perturbations

Let us turn to scales much smaller than the typical GB scale, $\left|\Delta\Omega/a_b^2\right|\gg\left|\mu^2\Omega/\beta\right|$. For the moment we also assume the quasi-staticity of Ω [Eq. (83)][2]. In this case we may ignore $\mathcal{F}$ terms relative to gradient terms in Eqs. (84)–(86), so that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Phi &= 4\pi\left(\frac{2+\beta}{3\beta}\right)G\ \delta\epsilon, &(91)\\ \frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Psi &= 4\pi\left(\frac{1+2\beta}{3\beta}\right)G\ \delta\epsilon. &(92)\end{aligned}$$

These are the cosmological extension of the result in [6]. In this case we have $\Phi-\Psi\neq0$ and hence the brane bending plays an important role. Since we are considering length scales smaller than $\beta^{1/2}/\mu$, we cannot take a smooth limit $\beta\to0$.

The above result implies that the perturbation dynamics on small scales is described by a scalar-tensor type theory. We can show that this is in fact the case without invoking the approximation (83). Here we only require

---

[2] Note that this approximation is valid only for dust matter because on small scales we have $\ddot{\Omega}\sim(c_s^2k^2/a_b^2)\Omega$ in general.

$\xi \sim (\beta/\mu)\Delta\Omega/a_b^3$. This in particular means that $\ddot{\Omega}$ can be as large as $\mathcal{O}(\Delta\Omega/a_b^2)$. Then our approximation allows one to neglect Ω terms (which can be expressed solely in terms of $\mathcal{F}$ at low energies) relative to the brane bending ξ in the perturbed extrinsic curvature (58)–(60). As a result, Eqs. (53)–(55) can be written as

$$\delta G_0{}^0 \simeq -\frac{\kappa^2\mu}{2\beta}\delta\rho - \mu\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(3H\dot{\xi} - \frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right), \tag{93}$$

$$\delta G_i{}^0 \simeq \frac{\kappa^2\mu}{2\beta}\delta q_{,i} + \mu\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(\dot{\xi} - H\xi\right)_{,i}, \tag{94}$$

$$\delta G_T \simeq \frac{\kappa^2\mu}{2\beta}\delta p - \mu\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(\ddot{\xi} + 2H\dot{\xi} - \frac{2}{3a_b^2}\Delta\xi\right), \tag{95}$$

where we used $\Delta\xi/a_b^2 \gg H^2\xi$. Neglecting $\mathcal{F}$ terms in Eqs. (74) and (76), we also have

$$\ddot{\xi} + 3H\dot{\xi} - \frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\xi = \frac{\kappa^2}{6(1+\beta)}\left(-\delta\rho + 3\delta p\right)\left[= \frac{\kappa^2}{6(1+\beta)}\delta T\right]. \tag{96}$$

The above four equations and Eq. (90) are equivalent to

$$\delta G_\mu{}^\nu = \frac{1}{2\varphi_0}\delta T_\mu{}^\nu + \frac{1}{\varphi_0}\left(\nabla_\mu\nabla^\nu - \nabla_\lambda\nabla^\lambda\delta_\mu{}^\nu\right)\delta\varphi, \tag{97}$$

$$\nabla_\lambda\nabla^\lambda\delta\varphi = \frac{1}{6+4\omega}\delta T, \tag{98}$$

with the identifications

$$\frac{1}{\varphi_0} \to \frac{\kappa^2\mu}{\beta}, \quad \frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi_0} \to -\mu\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\xi, \quad \omega \to \frac{3\beta}{1-\beta}. \tag{99}$$

This is nothing but the linearized Brans-Dicke (BD) theory with terms of $\mathcal{O}(H^2\delta\varphi)$ neglected. The result here is in agreement with the previous results for a Minkowski brane [7] and for a dS brane in the low energy limit [13].

In Ref. [6] it is argued by looking at Newton's potential that in the GB braneworld one can take the scale $\ell\ (= \mu^{-1})$ to be of *geophysical* size[3] (say $\sim$ 1 km – 100 kms) with β not too different from unity (say $\gtrsim 0.85$). One would take ℓ to be much larger and at the same time fine-tune β to be extremely close to unity, so that the BD-type theory would pass astronomical tests in the Solar System. However, in order *not* to spoil the standard cosmology picture after Big-Bang nucleosynthesis, ℓ must be smaller than the Hubble horizon size at that time. Therefore, ℓ is required to be $< \mathcal{O}(10^{13}\text{ cm}) \sim \mathcal{O}(\text{AU})$ and so must be below *cosmologically* interesting length scales.

B. High energy limit

Now let us take the high energy limit, $H^2 \gg \mu^2/\beta$. In this limit, the junction equations in the form of (53)–(56) are more convenient. Eq. (67) implies

$$\left|\frac{\beta}{\mu^2}\frac{a_b'}{a_b}\delta G_0{}^0\right| \gg |\delta K_T|. \tag{100}$$

We have assumed that the first and second terms in the right hand side of Eq. (67) do not cancel each other. This shows that the right hand side of the junction equation (53) is dominated by the perturbed 4D Einstein tensor. The same is true for the other two equations (54) and (55). Thus, in the very high energy regime we have

$$\delta G_0{}^0 = -\frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta H}\delta\rho, \tag{101}$$

$$\delta G_i{}^0 = \frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta H}\delta q_{,i}, \tag{102}$$

$$\delta G_T = \frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta H}\left(\delta p - \frac{\epsilon_H}{3}\delta\rho\right), \tag{103}$$

---

[3] This is in contrast to the RS model in which the bulk curvature radius is constrained to be $\ell \lesssim 0.1$ mm.

where we defined

$$\epsilon_H := -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}. \tag{104}$$

Similarly, it is easy to show that the right hand side of Eq. (56) is dominated by the metric potentials. Thus we obtain

$$(1-\epsilon_H)\Psi - \Phi = \frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta H} a_b^2 \delta\pi. \tag{105}$$

The set of equations (101)–(103) and (105) governs the perturbation dynamics at very high energies, $H^2 \gg \mu^2/\beta$. (In this regime, we cannot take a smooth limit $\beta \to 0$.) We should emphasize that *all the non-local terms drop from the junction equations and hence the system is closed on the brane.* Consequently, we know about the evolution of perturbations without solving the bulk. We remark here that these effective equations are shown to be consistent with the Bianchi identity by using the background equation (21).

For a dS brane background ($\epsilon_H = 0$), which is the situation studied in Ref. [13], the above equations reduce to the perturbed Einstein equations,

$$\delta G_\mu{}^\nu = 8\pi \hat{G}_{\rm eff}\ \delta T_\mu{}^\nu, \tag{106}$$

where the effective gravitational constant is given by

$$8\pi \hat{G}_{\rm eff} := \frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta H}. \tag{107}$$

Thus, recovery of Einstein gravity on a dS brane in the high energy limit [13] is confirmed. For a general background with $\epsilon_H \neq 0$, the perturbation equations differ from the Einstein equations and the effective gravitational coupling is time-dependent.

Now let us investigate the case with $\dot{H} \neq 0$ in more detail. Consider braneworld inflation driven by a single scalar field ϕ which is confined on the brane. For this background we have $\rho = \dot{\phi}^2/2 + V(\phi)$ and $p = \dot{\phi}^2/2 - V(\phi)$, where $V(\phi)$ is the potential of the inflaton. For perturbations generated by fluctuations of the scalar field, it is quite easy to describe the evolution of perturbations in the high energy limit by introducing the Sasaki-Mukhanov variable [29] and invoking the energy conservation equation. The perturbations of the energy-momentum components are given by $\delta\rho = \dot{\phi}\left(\dot{\delta\phi} - \dot{\phi}\bar{A}_b\right) + (dV/d\phi)\,\delta\phi$, $\delta q = -\dot{\phi}\delta\phi$, and $\delta p = \dot{\phi}\left(\dot{\delta\phi} - \dot{\phi}\bar{A}_b\right) - (dV/d\phi)\,\delta\phi$. The equation of motion for the scalar field perturbation $\delta\phi$ follows from the energy conservation equation, $\delta\left(\nabla_\nu T^{\mu\nu}\right) = 0$. In terms of a scalar field perturbation in the spatially flat gauge,

$$\delta\phi_\psi := \delta\phi + \frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\bar{\psi}_b, \tag{108}$$

we obtain the wave equation

$$\ddot{\delta\phi}_\psi + 3H\dot{\delta\phi}_\psi + \left(\frac{k^2}{a_b^2} + \frac{d^2V}{d\phi^2}\right)\delta\phi_\psi - \frac{\kappa^2\mu^2}{2\beta}\frac{1}{a_b^3 H^{1/2}}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{a_b^3\dot{\phi}^2}{H^{3/2}}\right)\delta\phi_\psi = 0. \tag{109}$$

Here perturbations were Fourier decomposed as usual, with k being comoving wave number. In deriving the wave equation we used the field equations (101)–(103) and (105), and hence the last term looks different from the corresponding equation in standard 4D cosmology. However, introducing new variables

$$v := a_b \delta\phi_\psi, \quad z := \frac{a_b\dot{\phi}}{H}, \tag{110}$$

Eq. (109) can be rewritten in a familiar form

$$v'' + \left(k^2 - \frac{z''}{z}\right)v = 0, \tag{111}$$

where a prime denotes a derivative with respect to conformal time $\eta := \int a^{-1}dt$. This exactly coincides with the Sasaki-Mukhanov equation derived in the standard 4D context [29]. Since the comoving curvature perturbation $\mathcal{R}_c := v/z$ is conserved on super-horizon scales irrespective of the gravitational field equations [30], it is natural that the conservation equation can be recast into the form of $v'' \simeq (z''/z)v$ as $k^2 \to 0$. In the present case, contrary to the RS model, the brane and bulk perturbations are decoupled on small scales. Therefore, our result might not be so surprising. Even so, we believe it interesting enough to emphasize.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In the present paper we have presented a formulation for scalar-type cosmological perturbations in a braneworld model with a bulk Gauss-Bonnet (GB) term. As a background solution, we have considered a 5D anti-de Sitter (AdS) bulk with the curvature radius μ^{-1}, bounded by a flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker cosmological brane. We have assumed that the AdS curvature radius is larger than the typical length scale defined by the GB coupling α (more precisely, $\beta := 4\alpha\mu^2 < 1$). We have also assumed an arbitrary expansion rate H of the brane universe. The bulk GB term does not change the 5D perturbation equations from those in the Randall-Sundrum (RS) model, and hence we have adopted the approach using Mukohyama's master variable which was first introduced in the Einstein gravity case. As for the boundary conditions at the brane, the generalized junction equations in the presence of the GB term bring several new terms, which can in principle cause dramatic changes of the behavior of perturbations in comparison with the RS braneworld.

In order to clarify the effects of the GB term, we have investigated the limiting cases where the boundary conditions are simplified to some extent. We have shown that in the low energy limit, $H^2 \ll \mu^2$, the RS model is reproduced on large scales, $a_b\lambda \gg \mu^{-1}$, where λ is the comoving wavelength of perturbations. Namely, gravity on the brane is basically described by general relativity in this regime. On small scales, however, the result is quite different from that in the RS model. We have shown that the behavior of perturbations is effectively governed by the linearized Brans-Dicke theory for $a_b\lambda \ll \beta^{1/2}/\mu$.

At very high energies, $H^2 \gg \mu^2/\beta$, the presence of the GB term leads to the most significant changes. We have found that in this high energy limit the evolution of perturbations on the brane can be determined without reference to the bulk perturbations. This is because the perturbed extrinsic curvature terms in the junction equations are suppressed compared with the novel terms arising due to the bulk GB correction, the latter being expressed solely in terms of the local quantities on the brane.

Finally, we shall comment on scalar perturbations generated by the fluctuations of an inflaton on the brane. In order to determine the amplitude of scalar perturbations, one has to quantize the perturbations. In the RS braneworld, the perturbations on the brane are strongly coupled to the bulk metric perturbations on small scales [20, 24], and hence the quantization of the coupled brane-bulk system is required. This is the outstanding challenge in the RS case (see, e.g., [31]). In the high energy regime of the GB braneworld, however, the perturbations on the brane and in the bulk are decoupled irrespective of their wavelengths, which enables us to quantize the system straightforwardly. Thus our result validates the approximation of [14] ignoring bulk effects only in the high energy limit. As the energy scale of the brane becomes lower ($H^2 \lesssim \mu^2/\beta$), the interplay between brane and bulk perturbations becomes strong for short wavelength modes, and one will face the same problem as in the RS braneworld.

Acknowledgements

TK is supported by the JSPS under Contract No. 01642. MM is supported by Monbu-Kagakusho Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No. 17340075.

APPENDIX A: BACKGROUND FIELD EQUATIONS

The 5D field equations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_0{}^0 - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathcal{H}_0{}^0 &= 3\left[b + \frac{a''}{a}\right] - 12\alpha b\frac{a''}{a} \\
&= -\Lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{A1}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_y{}^y - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathcal{H}_y{}^y &= 3\left[b + \frac{a'n'}{an} + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{n}}{an} - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\right] - 12\alpha b\left[\frac{a'n'}{an} + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{n}}{an} - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\right] \\
&= -\Lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{A2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_0{}^y - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathcal{H}_0{}^y &= 3\left[\frac{\dot{a}n'}{an} - \frac{\dot{a}'}{a}\right](1 - 4\alpha b) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{A3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{G}_i{}^j - \frac{\alpha}{2}\mathcal{H}_i{}^j &= \left[b + \frac{n''}{n} + 2\frac{a''}{a} + 2\frac{a'n'}{an} + \frac{2}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{n}}{an} - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\right]\delta_i{}^j \\
&\quad -4\alpha\left\{b\frac{n''}{n} + 2\frac{a''}{a}\left[\frac{a'n'}{an} + \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}\dot{n}}{an} - \frac{\ddot{a}}{a}\right)\right] + \frac{2}{n^2}\left[\frac{\dot{a}n'}{an} - \frac{\dot{a}'}{a}\right]^2\right\}\delta_i{}^j \\
&= -\Lambda\delta_i{}^j,
\end{aligned} \tag{A4}
$$

where

$$
b(t, y) := \left(\frac{a'}{a}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{n^2}\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2, \tag{A5}
$$

and an overdot (prime) denotes a derivative with respect to t (y).

APPENDIX B: GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS

Under a scalar gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
t &\to t + \delta t, \\
x^i &\to x^i + \partial^i \delta x, \\
y &\to y + \delta y,
\end{aligned} \tag{B1}
$$

the metric perturbations transform as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A &\to A - \dot{\delta t} - \frac{\dot{n}}{n}\delta t - \frac{n'}{n}\delta y, \\
\psi &\to \psi + \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\delta t + \frac{a'}{a}\delta y, \\
B &\to B + \frac{n^2}{a^2}\delta t - \dot{\delta x}, \\
B_y &\to B_y - \delta x' - \frac{1}{a^2}\delta y, \\
E &\to E - \delta x, \\
A_y &\to A_y + n\delta t' - \frac{1}{n}\dot{\delta y}, \\
A_{yy} &\to A_{yy} - \delta y'.
\end{aligned} \tag{B2}
$$

It is useful to introduce the spatially gauge-invariant combinations

$$
\sigma := -B + \dot{E}, \tag{B3}
$$

$$
\sigma_y := -B_y + E', \tag{B4}
$$

which transform as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &\rightarrow \sigma - \frac{n^2}{a^2}\delta t, &\text{(B5)}\\ \sigma_y &\rightarrow \sigma_y + \frac{1}{a^2}\delta y. &\text{(B6)}\end{aligned}$$

APPENDIX C: INFLATON PERTURBATIONS ON A DE SITTER BRANE

In this appendix, we consider a inflaton field ϕ whose potential V is very flat: $dV/d\phi \approx 0$. For such a potential, we may assume a de Sitter (dS) brane background. Although the general discussion of gravity on a dS brane with the GB correction was already given in [13], for completeness we shall revisit the issue here using the formalism developed in the main text.

Our approximation is as follows [20] (see also [28]). First, we take the slow-roll limit and work in the dS brane background. We also assume that we can neglect the brane metric perturbation contributions to the matter perturbations, which is a valid approximation in the slow-roll limit of the standard 4D calculation in the longitudinal gauge. Of course, such a simplified description of the inflationary universe may be a toy model, but this is a price to pay for a feasible problem; the master equation (31) is separable for a maximally symmetric brane and hence we are able to obtain a bulk solution for the master variable Ω analytically.

In the dS brane background, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n(t,y) = n(y) &= \cosh(\mu y) - \gamma \sinh(\mu y), &\text{(C1)}\\ a(t,y) &= a_b(t) n(y), &\text{(C2)}\end{aligned}$$

where for notational convenience we defined $\gamma := \sqrt{1 + H^2/\mu^2}$. There is a Cauchy horizon at $y = y_h = \mu^{-1}\coth^{-1}\gamma$. Now it is clear that the master equation (31) is separable for this background:

$$\Omega'' - 2\frac{n'}{n}\Omega' + \mu^2\Omega - \frac{1}{n^2}\left[\ddot{\Omega} - 3H\dot{\Omega} - \frac{1}{a_b^2}\Delta\Omega\right] = 0. \tag{C3}$$

We write the solution to this equation in the form of

$$\Omega(t,y,\mathbf{x}) = \int d^3k\ \Omega_k(t,y)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \quad \Omega_k(t,y) = \int dm\ \varphi_m(t)\chi_m(y). \tag{C4}$$

From now on we will work in the Fourier space and suppress the subscript k. The mode functions satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}\ddot{\varphi}_m - 3H\dot{\varphi}_m + \left(m^2 + \frac{k^2}{a_b^2}\right)\varphi_m &= 0, &\text{(C5)}\\ \chi_m'' - 2\frac{n'}{n}\chi_m' + \left(\frac{m^2}{n^2} + \mu^2\right)\chi_m &= 0. &\text{(C6)}\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the conformal time $\eta := -1/(a_b H)$, the general solution in the time direction is given in the form of a linear combination of Bessel functions of order ν:

$$\varphi_m = (-k\eta)^{-3/2}\left[c_1(m)J_\nu(-k\eta) + c_2(m)Y_\nu(-k\eta)\right], \qquad \nu^2 = \frac{9}{4} - \frac{m^2}{H^2}, \tag{C7}$$

where $c_1(m)$ and $c_2(m)$ are constants. The general solution in the extra direction is obtained in the form of

$$\chi_m = n(y)\left[c_3(m)P_{\nu-1/2}(\coth\mu(y_h - y)) + c_4(m)Q_{\nu-1/2}(\coth\mu(y_h - y))\right], \tag{C8}$$

where P_α and Q_α are and Legendre functions of the first and second kind, of order α respectively, and $c_3(m)$ and $c_4(m)$ are constants.

The junction conditions are reduced to

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa^2\delta\rho &= -6\left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}H\dot{F}+\frac{k^2}{6a_b^3}F+H\dot{\xi}-H^2\xi+\frac{k^2}{3a_b^2}\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta\gamma}{3\mu}\frac{k^4}{a_b^5}\Omega, &\text{(C9)}\\
\kappa^2\delta q &= -2\left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}\dot{F}+\dot{\xi}-H\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta\gamma}{3\mu}\frac{k^2}{a_b^3}\left(\dot{\Omega}-H\Omega\right), &\text{(C10)}\\
\kappa^2\delta p &= 2\left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2a_b}\ddot{F}+\frac{1}{a_b}H\dot{F}+\ddot{\xi}+2H\dot{\xi}-3H^2\xi+\frac{2}{3}\frac{k^2}{a_b^2}\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta\gamma}{9\mu}\frac{k^4}{a_b^5}\Omega, &\text{(C11)}\\
\left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)\xi &= -\frac{\beta\gamma}{2\mu}\frac{1}{a_b}\left(\ddot{\Omega}-H\dot{\Omega}+\frac{k^2}{3a_b^2}\Omega\right), &\text{(C12)}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$F(t) := \Omega' + \mu\gamma\Omega, \tag{C13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\beta} &:= -\beta + 2\beta\gamma^2\\
&= \beta + 2\beta H^2/\mu^2. &\text{(C14)}
\end{aligned}$$

Defining

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{\rm dS}(t) &:= \left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)\left(F+2a_b\xi\right)+\frac{2\beta\gamma}{\mu}\left(H\dot{\Omega}-H^2\Omega-\frac{k^2}{3a_b^2}\Omega\right)\\
&= \left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)F-\frac{\beta\gamma}{\mu}\left[\ddot{\Omega}-3H\dot{\Omega}+\left(2H^2+\frac{k^2}{a_b^2}\right)\Omega\right], &\text{(C15)}
\end{aligned}$$

Eqs. (C9)–(C11) are rewritten in the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned}
-3H\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS}-\frac{k^2}{a_b^2}\mathcal{P}_{\rm dS} &= \kappa^2 a_b\left[\dot{\phi}\delta\dot{\phi}+\frac{dV}{d\phi}\delta\phi\right], &\text{(C16)}\\
\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS} &= \kappa^2 a_b\dot{\phi}\delta\phi, &\text{(C17)}\\
\ddot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS}+2H\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS} &= \kappa^2 a_b\left[\dot{\phi}\delta\dot{\phi}-\frac{dV}{d\phi}\delta\phi\right], &\text{(C18)}
\end{aligned}$$

where the energy-momentum components are now given by the perturbed scalar field. Combining Eqs. (C16)–(C18) and using the background equation $\ddot{\phi}+3H\dot{\phi}+dV/d\phi=0$, we obtain

$$\ddot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS}-\left(H+\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{\dot{\phi}}\right)\dot{\mathcal{P}}_{\rm dS}+\frac{k^2}{a_b^2}\mathcal{P}_{\rm dS}=0. \tag{C19}$$

Keeping in mind the slow-roll condition $|\ddot{\phi}/\dot{\phi}| \ll H$, the solution to this equation is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm dS}=C_1\frac{\cos(-k\eta)}{-k\eta}+C_2\frac{\sin(-k\eta)}{-k\eta}, \tag{C20}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are constants. This solution can be expressed as a sum of Bessel functions as [20]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{\rm dS} &= C_1\sqrt{2\pi}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\left(2l+\frac{1}{2}\right)(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_{2l+1/2}(-k\eta)\\
&\quad +C_2\sqrt{2\pi}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\left(2l+\frac{3}{2}\right)(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_{2l+3/2}(-k\eta). &\text{(C21)}
\end{aligned}$$

This indicates that the boundary condition is satisfied by an infinite sum of discrete mode solutions $(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_{\nu}(-k\eta)$ with $\nu^2=9/4-m^2/H^2$, where the mass spectrum is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
m^2 &= -2(2l-1)(l+1)H^2 \qquad \text{for} \quad C_1, &\text{(C22)}\\
m^2 &= -2l(2l+3)H^2 \qquad \text{for} \quad C_2. &\text{(C23)}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us construct the bulk solution consistent with the boundary condition. Due to the boundary condition induced by the scalar field on the brane, we can choose only the normalizable modes Q_α in the extra direction. Then the the bulk solution is given by

$$\Omega(\eta,y)=C_1\Omega_1(\eta,y)+C_2\Omega_2(\eta,y), \tag{C24}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_1(\eta,y) &= \sqrt{2\pi}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\left(2l+\frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{n(y)Q_{2l}(\coth\mu(y_h-y))}{H\left[(1-\beta)Q_{2l}^1(\gamma)-(H/\mu)\beta\gamma Q_{2l}^2(\gamma)\right]}(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_{2l+1/2}(-k\eta), && \text{(C25)}\\ \Omega_2(\eta,y) &= \sqrt{2\pi}\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}(-1)^l\left(2l+\frac{3}{2}\right)\frac{n(y)Q_{2l+1}(\coth\mu(y_h-y))}{H\left[(1-\beta)Q_{2l+1}^1(\gamma)-(H/\mu)\beta\gamma Q_{2l+1}^2(\gamma)\right]}(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_{2l+3/2}(-k\eta), && \text{(C26)}\end{aligned}$$

where Q_α^β are associated Legendre functions of the second kind.

Since the mode solution in the time direction behaves as $(-k\eta)^{-3/2}J_\nu(-k\eta)\sim a_b^{-\nu+3/2}$ on super-horizon scales, the dominant mode is the one with $m^2=2H^2$ (the C_1 mode with $l=0$). Thus, on super-horizon scales we have

$$\Omega\approx C_1 a_b(t)\mu(y_h-y)n(y), \tag{C27}$$

where unimportant factors are absorbed into the redefinition of C_1. Using this fact and Eq. (30), we obtain

$$\tilde{\psi}\approx-\frac{C_1}{2}\mu^2\gamma, \tag{C28}$$

and

$$\xi\approx-\frac{C_1}{6}\frac{\beta\gamma y_h}{1+\bar{\beta}}\frac{k^2}{a_b^2}. \tag{C29}$$

The latter equation shows that the brane bending can be neglected on super-horizon scales. Eq. (C17) determines the fluctuation in the scalar field as

$$\kappa^2\delta\phi\approx-\frac{H}{\dot{\phi}}\mu\left(1+\bar{\beta}\right)C_1. \tag{C30}$$

Thus from Eq. (66) we find

$$\Psi=4\pi G_{\rm eff}\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\delta\phi, \tag{C31}$$

where

$$8\pi G_{\rm eff}:=\kappa^2\mu\left[\frac{\sqrt{1+H^2/\mu^2}}{1+\beta+2\beta H^2/\mu^2}\right]. \tag{C32}$$

By this normalization of the gravitational constant, the relation (C31) gives the same result as in standard 4D cosmology [32]. For $H^2\ll\mu^2/\beta$, we have

$$G_{\rm eff}\simeq G\sqrt{1+H^2/\mu^2}. \tag{C33}$$

This is nothing but the relation given in [20] in the RS model. In the opposite limit, $H^2\gg\mu^2/\beta$, we have

$$G_{\rm eff}\simeq\hat{G}_{\rm eff}. \tag{C34}$$

This agrees with what we have shown in Sec. IV B and thus with the result in Ref. [13].

---

[1] D. Langlois, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **148**, 181 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0209261], R. Maartens, Living Rev. Rel. **7**, 7 (2004) [arXiv:gr-qc/0312059], P. Brax, C. van de Bruck and A. C. Davis, Rept. Prog. Phys. **67**, 2183 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404011], C. Csaki, arXiv:hep-ph/0404096.

[2] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 3370 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9905221].

[3] L. Randall and R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9906064].

[4] N. Deruelle and J. Madore, arXiv:gr-qc/0305004.

[5] J. E. Kim, B. Kyae and H. M. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B **582**, 296 (2000) [Erratum-ibid. B **591**, 587 (2000)] [arXiv:hep-th/0004005], J. E. Kim and H. M. Lee, Nucl. Phys. **B602**, 346(2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0010093], K. A. Meissner and M. Olechowski, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 064017 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0106203], I. P. Neupane, Phys. Lett. B **512**, 137 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104226], I. P. Neupane, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 5507 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0106100], Y. M. Cho and I. P. Neupane, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **18**, 2703 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0112227].

[6] N. Deruelle and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **110**, 441 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0306032].

[7] S. C. Davis, arXiv:hep-th/0402151.

[8] K. i. Maeda and T. Torii, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 024002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0309152].

[9] T. Kobayashi, T. Shiromizu and N. Deruelle, arXiv:hep-th/0608166.

[10] T. Shiromizu, K. i. Maeda and M. Sasaki, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 024012 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9910076].

[11] N. Deruelle and T. Dolezel, Phys. Rev. **D62**, 103502 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/0004021], S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, Phys. Rev. **D65**, 023521(2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0108172], C. Germani, C. F. Sopuerta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 231101 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202060], J. E. Lidsey, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, JHEP **0206**, 026 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202198], S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Ogushi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17**, 4809 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205187], P. Binetruy, C. Charmousis, S. C. Davis and J. F. Dufaux, Phys. Lett. B **544**, 183 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206089], J. E. Lidsey and N. J. Nunes, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 103510 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0303168], S. Tsujikawa, M. Sami and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 063525 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0406078], K. s. Aoyanagi and K. i. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123506 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408008], M. Sami, N. Savchenko and A. Toporensky, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 123528 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408140], T. Kobayashi, Gen. Rel. Grav. **37**, 1869 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0504027], G. L. Alberghi, D. Bombardelli, R. Casadio and A. Tronconi, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 025005 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503060], G. L. Alberghi and A. Tronconi, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 027702 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0510267].

[12] C. Charmousis and J. F. Dufaux, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 4671 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202107].

[13] M. Minamitsuji and M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **112**, 451 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404166].

[14] J. F. Dufaux, J. E. Lidsey, R. Maartens and M. Sami, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 083525 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0404161].

[15] S. Mukohyama, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084015 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0004067], S. Mukohyama, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 4777 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0006146], S. Mukohyama, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 064006 (2001) [Erratum-ibid. D **66**, 049902 (2002)] [arXiv:hep-th/0104185].

[16] H. A. Bridgman, K. A. Malik and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 043502 (2002) [arXiv:astro-ph/0107245].

[17] C. Deffayet, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 103504 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205084], C. Deffayet, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 023520 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0409302], C. Gordon and R. Maartens, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 044022 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009010], B. Gumjudpai, R. Maartens and C. Gordon, Class. Quant. Grav. **20**, 3295 (2003) [arXiv:gr-qc/0304067], K. Koyama and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 123502 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005239], K. Koyama and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 023514 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0108003], K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 084003 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0204047], K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 221301 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0303108], K. Koyama, S. Mizuno and D. Wands, JCAP **0508**, 009 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0506102], D. Langlois, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 126012 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005025], D. Langlois, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 2212 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0010063], D. Langlois, R. Maartens, M. Sasaki and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 084009 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0012044], R. Maartens, D. Wands, B. A. Bassett and I. Heard, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 041301 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/9912464], C. van de Bruck, M. Dorca, R. H. Brandenberger and A. Lukas, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 123515 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005032], B. Leong, P. Dunsby, A. Challinor and A. Lasenby, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 104012 (2002) [arXiv:gr-qc/0111033].

[18] H. Kodama, A. Ishibashi and O. Seto, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 064022 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0004160].

[19] K. Koyama, JCAP **0409**, 010 (2004) [arXiv:astro-ph/0407263].

[20] K. Koyama, D. Langlois, R. Maartens and D. Wands, JCAP **0411**, 002 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0408222].

[21] T. Kobayashi and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 124028 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0505065], T. Kobayashi and T. Tanaka, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 044005 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0511186], T. Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 124031 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602168].

[22] T. Hiramatsu, K. Koyama and A. Taruya, Phys. Lett. B **578**, 269 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0308072], T. Hiramatsu, K. Koyama and A. Taruya, Phys. Lett. B **609**, 133 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0410247], T. Hiramatsu, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 084008 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601105].

[23] S. S. Seahra, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 044010 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602194].

[24] T. Hiramatsu and K. Koyama, arXiv:hep-th/0607068.

[25] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2752 (1977), R. C. Myers, Phys. Rev. D **36**, 392 (1987), S. C. Davis, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 024030 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0208205], E. Gravanis and S. Willison, Phys. Lett. B **562**, 118 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0209076].

[26] P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet and D. Langlois, Nucl. Phys. B **565**, 269 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9905012], P. Binetruy, C. Deffayet, U. Ellwanger and D. Langlois, Phys. Lett. B **477**, 285 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910219], S. Mukohyama, Phys. Lett. B **473**, 241 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9911165], D. Ida, JHEP **0009**, 014 (2000) [arXiv:gr-qc/9912002], P. Kraus, JHEP **9912**, 011 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9910149].

[27] G. R. Dvali, G. Gabadadze and M. Porrati, Phys. Lett. B **485**, 208 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0005016].

[28] K. Koyama and S. Mizuno, JCAP **0607**, 013 (2006) [arXiv:gr-qc/0606056].

[29] M. Sasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **76**, 1036 (1986), V. F. Mukhanov, Sov. Phys. JETP **67** (1988) 1297 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **94N7** (1988) 1], V. F. Mukhanov, H. A. Feldman and R. H. Brandenberger, Phys. Rept. **215**, 203 (1992).

[30] D. Wands, K. A. Malik, D. H. Lyth and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043527 (2000) [arXiv:astro-ph/0003278].
[31] H. Yoshiguchi and K. Koyama, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043519 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0411056], K. Koyama, A. Mennim and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 064001 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0504201].
[32] V. Mukhanov, *Physical foundations of cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).